COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1 450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 9

Bernard Vining 6419 South Troy Street Chicago, IL 60629

COPY MAILED

APR 0 5 2004

In re Application of

Vining

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Application No. 10/080,260

Filed: February 21, 2002

ON PETITION

For: Smoke Detector With RF Reset Switch

This is a decision in response to the paper filed March 23, 2004, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 (no fee) to withdraw the holding of abandonment of the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

Any request for reconsideration must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. No further petition fee is required for the request. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are NOT permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition To Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment."

A previous petition was filed on December 29, 2003. A decision was mailed on January 21, 2004. A renewed petition was filed on February 23, 2004. A decision dismissing the petition was mailed on March 2, 2004. The prior decisions discussed several things which needed to be supplied. Petitioner has supplied the \$65 surcharge and a proper declaration in compliance with the decisions. However, petitioner has not filed a proper showing of non-receipt of the Notice to File Missing Parts.

Evidence to establish non-receipt of the Notice to File Missing Parts mailed March 19, 2002:

Petitioner has failed to provide any of the requested evidence. Petitioner should closely read the following discussion and respond accordingly.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application (Notice) mailed March 19, 2002. The Notice set a period for reply of two (2) months from the mail date of the Notice. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on May 19, 2002. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on December 3, 2003.

Petitioner contends that the Notice of Missing Parts was never received.

In the absence of any irregularity in the mailing of the Office action, there is a strong presumption that the Office action was properly mailed and received. In order to overcome this presumption, a petition alleging non-receipt of an Office action must:

(1) include a statement that the Office action was not received,

(2) attest that a search of the file jacket, or location where the paper would have been place, has been made, and

(3) include any additional information which may be required by the Commissioner.

Petitioner should discuss how was processed when received during March of 2002. Did petitioner directly handle all mail? Has all mail related to the instant application been kept in one location? If yes, has a search of that location been made? Petitioner should fully discuss the handling of all mail to show that it is more likely than not the mail was lost prior to receipt rather than lost after being received by petitioner.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile: (703) 872-9306

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

2011 South Clark Place Customer Window

Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03

Arlington, VA 22202

If a request for reconsideration is filed, and a decision on the new petition is not received within three months, petitioner may wish to call the number below to check on the status of the renewed petition.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Petitions Attorney Steven Brantley at (703) 306-5683.

Charles Steven Brantley **Petitions Attorney**

Office of Petitions